

for

In reply to your Letter 3^d Feb^y 1831
 Dutchy of Lancaster Office Waterloo Bridge
 I have to state, the Plans or particulars of my
 Claim, have been repeatedly sent to various
 persons as the late Earl of Harcourt, Duke of
 Wellington, Col. Percussen & ^{number of these gentlemen}
 conceiving it to come under their department,
 so much discouraged ~~my~~ further application
 that I gave up the Claim as lost. although I
 had been at considerable expence and trouble
 in the different Cases.

I was employed by a person named Weatherston
 a Foreman Superintending the erection of the
 Stone Bridge after the Death of Collyer, first
 Superintendent of the Works, and also by him
 in his life time.

The principal case demanding most
 time Services, Medicine, Leeches &c
 was George Brochwar a Stone Mason.

The second was John Brown a Carpenter
 who fell into the Virginia Water Lake
 while employed in the erecting of the Fishing
 Temple and while labouring under an
 Organic Affection.

A third was Thomas Ridgway a Ship
Carpenter from Deptford Dockyard, who
while working on his Majesty's Frigate on the
Labe, had the misfortune to fracture a finger
and other ways contracted his hand.

There were several other Cases of minor
importance - the names of whom I do not now
recollect, and having destroyed my references
from the supposition of their being no longer of use
I have only a recollection of the ~~aggregate~~
aggregate amount, and the nature of the
Cases.

What adds to the hardship of my Case
is that there was no other Surgeon within
five miles of me, consequently the
services upon me who could be afforded it
from having a Wife and family.

May remain two of the Cases were selected
and paid for, having come under the late
Things purchased, and used - one was
a Labourer who was drowned - and a
Watchman of the Things Tents - both of
these accounts were paid for, and not included
in the bill alluded to.

All Cases of this Nature among the
Labourers of Windsor Castle, that came
under the knowledge of his late Majesty
were authorised to be paid for, and some
of the sufferers pensioned - the names of the

32786

accounts are at the Office of the Treasury
Board of Works &c
which references I hope will prove satisfactory

My disappointment and consequent
distress from the non payment of these
accounts have been extreme, and still
continue so. and I sincerely hope his late
Majesty's Executors will take into consideration
the hardship of my case and that my
distress be finally -

This claim has frequently
been mentioned by me as just and
of the hearing. There is no pretence
for it, and it ought to be rejected

I remain for your Obedient
and obedient

R. Whitson

Windsor
Feb 1831

95480



is a
fine
deed
for



F. James James Esq
Factory of Lancaster
Office
Waterloo Bridge



[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the paper.]