

Ld. Rockingham's answer
(For H. H.'s reply see behind)

Feb. 4 1766

342

Lord Rockingham would have presumed to
have had the Honour of attending his Majesty
this evening after the Debate & Division in the
House of Lords, if he had not been fearful that
it might have been inconvenient to his Majesty.

The Division was upon a Motion made by
Ld. Suffolk to insert the word require
instead of recommend &c. It is the last Resolution.

The Resolution for bringing the Authors &c. to condemnation
punishment was not proposed by desire

Ld.
(For

[Faint, illegible handwriting on a large sheet of paper, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.]

g
t
It
y
a
en
w
gro
To

Ld. Rockingham
(For H. H's reply see behind)

Feb. 4 1766

342 (2)

of L. Mansfield.

Upon the Division the Administration were
for Adhering to the word proposed.

The Numbers were 60 - for Adhering

— 63 - for the proposed Amend^{ment}.

It is impossible for me at present to send
Your Majesty a List - but must say It is
the fullest Proof of what L. Forkingham has
in Duty been obliged to inform his Majesty
what was to be expected.

Grosvenor Square
Tuesday Night 9th Feb. 4th 1766

Ld B. I desire you will call here about one
tomorrow, that I may hear the whole of this day's
debate

^m
20 p. g. Ch.

To Buckingham
Sept. 14. 1766. Long Answer