

Lord Grey to Sir H. Taylor

36037-8

Towning Thurst July 21/32.

Private

Dear Sir Herbert

I have received your letter of yesterday, for which I beg you will accept my best thanks.

Nobody can be more ready than I am to do ample justice to the Duke of York's administration of the Army. It certainly was derided in all cases by a spirit of impertinence & attention to the claims of the service. But it was not always that he could succeed in carrying his good intentions into effect; & I could cite several instances, though fewer under him than of former

Yours faithfully
Commander
Lieut. Genl.
Sir Genl. Taylor G.C.B.

commander in chief, in which, both in private
& withheld appointments, political & personal
motives, certainly against his will, appeared
to interfere. I must do the same justice to
the Duke of Wellington. I well remember the
case of Sir George Anson, & it certainly did
the Duke the highest credit.

There certainly is a very strong feeling
that the Home Guards is not favourable to
the government. I do not say that this is felt
with regard to Lord Hill himself, but on
account of the people about him, whose influence
is thought to be greater than, perhaps, it is.
This creates a good deal of jealousy, but all
that I wish to point out when this is a claim,
that there are fair merits of service
a proper degree of favour should be shown to
the friends of the government. In what I said
of Lord Dalhousie I did not mean to
intimate

Lord Grey to Sir H. Taylor

36038

intimate any ~~other~~ intention of offering Lord
 Hill's recommendation, if he should be the object
 of it; though I should have been glad if it could
 have fallen, with equal claims of merit, on
 a less obnoxious person. But there is a claim
 put in for this government which, I think,
 must be considered before it is given away.
 Lord Melbourne has received a letter from
 the Earl of War stating that, prior to the
 attainder of his ancestor, this government
 was always held by the head of that family,
 in virtue of an hereditary right. All his
 rights, privileges & preeminences having been
 restored by the reversal of the attainder,
 he now claims this government on the ground
 which I have mentioned. He is a young man
 & was, I believe, lately in prison for shooting
 at a clergyman, & there probably is nothing in
 this but, as it is a claim of right, it
 seems

news to require some investigation.

I have not had time to examine the state
-ment respecting the Scotch Fund, but it seems
to me to be what is vulgarly called a Paris
deal. The impetuosity of a Scotch Fund, upon the
bill ^{plan}, was, I think, proved to demonstration by
Dr. Haulton.

You will have seen that we had a very near
division in the House of Lords last night,
which was brought on ^{nobody speaking it} by the bill of Secularisation;
where opposition has become more violent &
arbitrary than ever. There really was not
the least ground for the postponement which
H. H. H. urged. It could only be for the sake
of delay; whether for the purpose of creating
only, or of meeting members against the
bill I know not, but it obliges me to push
for the attendance of all the Peers who can
be brought up, at the expense, I fear, of
great inconvenience to many of them.

J

36039

If there are any at Windsor, who uphold
the job, pray desire them to attend to
Monday the 2d. next, & were particularly
in the committee, which I intend to fix
on Monday, when Proxies cannot be given.

I have had a long conversation with
Sir Rufus Boscawen, who is come to town,
on account of an cough, who is dying. His
account of the state of Ireland, & of the
Revenue now possessed by the Government
to uphold the turbulent, is, upon the
whole very satisfactory. But he represents
the Subjects of Party & Religious Animosity,
excited by the Orangemen on the one hand
& the O'Connellites ^{on the other}, as the great base of that
country, which is, even in spite of their
malignant spirit, prospering to the
greatest.

greatest degree. He Antis the Orangermen
to be undisputedly actuated by a desire
to provoke a collision, from which they
hope to re-establish their complete
duddy.

I am with great regard
Dear Sir
Yours most faithfully
Gorey.

men
r
7
in.

[Faint, illegible handwriting]

Mr H. G. G. G.
London
July 21. 1832.
Respecting the
in the Army.

36040

I had expated my disapprobation at the
time, from those who have made it —

I have left the question respecting the
disposal of the government of London &ry
settled for some time, but it should be
settled. In recommending Sir John Byng, whose
claims of service cannot be disputed, I had
only claimed a right which all former
ministers have exercised, & that I
proposed was supported by all previous
practice. In the list of governments I
do not find one, of any value, which is not
held by a general officer, &ry, at the same
time, a Regiment. Many of them by men
of great abilities, & some by Officers with
claims of service very inferior to those
of Sir John Byng. I do not claim

By Sir J. Byng

for the administration, as influence in
the distribution of the patronage of the
Army, which would be derogatory from the
situation of the Commander in Chief, or
inconsistent with the good of the Service.
But nobody can know better than you that
even in the time of the Duke of York, the
Minister was allowed a voice in a case
such as that now before us, & it is some
time since I was said to represent to
his Majesty, that to this extent (& there
I am sure against the suspicion of improp-
riety being an unjustifiable claim) it
is absolutely necessary that the Government
should not appear to be excluded from an
influence, without which its strength would
be impaired. What is taking every day shows

36041-2

That we require all the support which former governments have received.

I am not disposed to contend that a rule, of not giving governments to officers already King's servants, might not be a good one. But such a rule has not hitherto been acted upon, & when it is seen that the Lord Riversford, Lord Combermere, the Duke of Arlington, Sir William Keppel, the Duke of Gordon, & Lord Hill have all, all held governments, some of them with the best regiments in the service, & with large private fortunes, the objection made to Sir John Byng, will inevitably be considered as proceeding from a disbeniten to with. hold from

From the present Ministers, what has
been, I believe almost invariably, granted
to their predecessors, & that a new rule
is now, for the first time, to be acted upon,
which produces this effect. I say for the
first time, as I cannot consider the case
of General Hawker as precedant, to
stand at all when he came forward, as
that of Sir John Byng.

It is proper to be considered, if this
new rule is now to be promulgated, whether
it will not bring all the other governments,
to which I have alluded, under discussion,
with a view to their abolition or reduction,
which has been proposed, or at least courts
to their separation from the command
of regiments.

It has stated that there is no

one

36042

one to whose decision I would more willingly
 leave the matter than yours. I have had no
 objection but to do justice to a deserving Officer,
 who has by his claims upon me personally,
 who had been promised a promotion by the
 Duke of York, & who has asked to nothing
 what others, with no better pretensions,
 have not obtained. That if it is thought
 that such a rule ought now to be adopted
 for the good of the Service, with an assurance
 that it is to be invariably & impartially
 acted upon, I do not wish to make any
 further opposition to it - All I have to
 desire in that case, ^{with} that the two Govern-
 ments (London & the King's) shall
 with them be vacant, may not be dispensed
 of without my being consulted: as was done
 (as Lord Godolphin informs me) by the
 Duke

Father of Wellington, when he (said) was
at the head of the Army —

This letter has run into greater length
than I expected, & I ought to apologise
for it. But I do not require a detailed answer,
& shall be perfectly satisfied with whatever
His Majesty may decide, being confident
that he never can be unkind to you
is due to the character & just & necessary
influence of those whom he has honoured
with his confidence —

I hope the Queen has not suffered in
her health for the fatigue & attention
which she has lately undergone —

I am, dear Sir, Dear Sir,
your most faithfully

Grey.