

Notes
sent to Mr. Broyeres. Windsor July 28th 1783

Draft of 16408

16406

Windsor July 28th 1783.

Mr. Broyeres having found it necessary on account of some difference of opinion between him and Mr. Farhill to put the following ^{questions to} ~~the following~~ ~~papers~~ to Mr. last night, and having received my directions on them, to prevent all mistakes I have stated them on Paper which he may communicate them to both Prince Edward's Preceptors.

1. What attendance is expected of the Gentlemen of P. Edward's Establishment at Dinner?

Either Mr. Broyeres and one of the Preceptors, or in his absence. the two Preceptors to be expected to dine with P. Edward.

2. What notice required when either of the Preceptors wish to absent themselves from Dinner?

Undoubtedly it would be more convenient that the notice were given to Mr. Broyeres the day before, but at latest ^{on the day} ~~the day~~ ~~done~~ immediately after breakfast ~~on the day~~ and not in presence of P. Edward ~~who ought not to be~~ ~~not being right~~ that he should be present in any arrangements of the Gentlemen.

3. If either of the Preceptors wish to absent themselves for a night ought not some notice to be given.

Undoubtedly common civility as well as regularity requires that Mr. Broyeres should be acquainted with such intentions, and if it ~~is for any length of time~~ he must have ^{some} notice that he may be enabled to acquaint

acquaints Me with it, as the does of the Annuals Abreves.

4. Whether Mr. Boyeres giving P. Edward notice that he shall not dine with him is a reason for the Proceptors to do the same. Undoubtedly not, for Mr. Boyeres is the proper person to mention who does not dine, and consequently in his own case he must follow that Method.

After this explanation, I trust I shall hear of no further misapprehensions which undoubtedly a little ^{personal} attention could so easily prevent.