

~~agreed to but think it worthy of~~
~~consideration whether if the~~
~~Pension list is subject to a precise~~
~~Limitation ^{in consequence of which it may not occur}~~
~~when once that list is public it~~
~~cannot be ^{in consequence of which it may not occur}~~
~~employed for ^{any purpose}~~
~~employment ^{for any purpose}~~
~~to prevent the placing those who have~~
~~served twenty or thirty years and~~
~~disabled from further service~~
~~and those who by any ^{bodily infirmity}~~
~~arising from ^{service in the service}~~
~~are equally unable to serve and~~
~~not in a situation to ^{circumstances}~~
~~maintain themselves.~~

Is it not worthy of consideration
 whether if the Pension list is subjected
 to a precise limitation it may not occasion
 inconvenience. When once that list
 is public it cannot bear even the
 shadow of being employed for
 corrupt purposes, ^{and it may be proper}
~~legislation~~
 therefore to add a clause that it is
 not meant to prevent the ^{placing on that}
~~list those who have served twenty~~
~~or thirty years, and are disabled~~
~~from further service, or those who~~
~~are from bodily infirmities acquired~~
~~in the service unable to continue~~
~~and not in a situation to maintain~~
~~themselves.~~

[Faint, illegible handwriting in cursive script, likely a historical document or letter.]