

Ld. Shelburne

Dec. 14 1782

5107

14

Sir

I should not think it worth troubling Your Majesty with an account of what pass'd in the House of Lords yesterday, if I had not had a conversation with Mr. De Grey, of which it may be satisfactory to Your Majesty to be appriz'd.

Nothing pass'd in the Lords except  
conversation, in consequence of a  
set of questions, which Lord Fitz-  
williams had upon paper to put  
to me, contrary to all order or pre-  
cedent. He was supported by Lord  
Derby and Lord Townsend. The  
Duke of Richmond took a very  
distinct part, in support of the or-  
der of the House, and of your Ma-  
jesty's present Administration. This  
led to some explanations, which  
I should think make it <sup>impossible</sup> for much  
cordiality to remain between  
that party and his Grace.

Ld. Shelburne

Dec. 14 1782

5107(2)

I have great satisfaction in ac-  
quainting Your Majesty, that if  
Monfr<sup>e</sup> De Rayneval is not fundamen-  
tally deceiv'd, or the Comte De Ver-  
genne's disgrac'd, The Peace is still  
at Your Majesty's command. He  
acknowledges the last offer to lay  
the foundation of not only an ho-  
nourable but fructuouse negotia-  
tion. He is most earnest, that  
the Intermediate Time should be  
employ'd in finding some equiva-  
lent or fresh arrangement, that  
might satisfy us, in case the

Spanish Obstinacy regarding Gu  
-brallow should still prove invinc  
ble, for he quoted so many  
passages from Mont<sup>r</sup> De Vergennes  
private Letters, and mention'd un  
necessarily so many circumstan  
ces, that I have not myself the  
least Doubt, that they are perfect  
ly sincere in wishing Spain to  
close on any terms, and that the  
~~exchange~~ exchange of Guadalupe for  
the Spanish part of S<sup>t</sup>. Domingo  
is not an object of theirs, or  
at least a very secondary one.

For A.M.'s reply see over.

5108

He avow'd the separation of North  
America to be their principal Ob-  
ject, and that they consider'd eve-  
ry thing else comme des Droques.  
He consider'd I found the Inde-  
pendency as entirely depending  
on the present Negotiation, and  
the Debates about it as ridiculous.  
He acknowledg'd that Monsr  
De Vergennes was astonish'd at  
the negotiation being so much  
advanced without their knowledge,  
as well as at the Articles, of which  
Monsr De Vergennes has sent him

a copy. He said they could not enter into our conduct about the Fishery, and still less into our giving the back Lands, which were the sole occasion of the War of 1755. Upon the whole they seem discontented with the conduct of the Americans, but determined to put the best face upon what has pass'd.

I am to ask Your Majesty's pardon for so long a detail, and likewise for omitting to take Your Majesty's commands upon the

For K

510

subp  
-sati

wa t

Be  
14<sup>th</sup>

The w  
shew'd  
sation  
The  
is not a  
I sh  
on the  
finally  
Windsor  
Dec. 14<sup>th</sup> 1754

For A.M.'s reply see over.

5108 (2)

subject of the Civil List Compo-  
sitions as stated by Mr. Gilbert.

I have the honour to be  
with the highest Respect

Your Majesty's

Duty full Subject

Berkley Square

Shelburne

14<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1702

9 o'clock A.M.

The whole conduct of these Lords that chose to be troublesome yesterday  
shewed more spleen than sense, and gave an opportunity of registering  
sentiments that cannot fail of being advantageous to the State.

The language of Mr. de Grey reveals makes me feel myself that Peace  
is not in so desperate a situation as I imagined.

I shall bring the Papers Mr. Shelburne sent me concerning the composition  
on the Civil List as stated by Mr. Gilbert on Monday that they may be  
finally settled.

Windsor  
Dec. 14<sup>th</sup> 1702  $\frac{m}{20}$  p. 7. Dk

*[Faint, illegible handwriting covering the majority of the page]*

E. J. Melbourne  
Buckley's Green Dec. 14. 1852. 9. H.  
my Ancestral Windsor Dec. 14. 1852. 20. H.